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Q1. The following data represent the seasonal outputs ('000tons) in Ranlad Farms Nig. Ltd:
Arable crops - 300, 350, 450, 650, 550, 220, 370, 7500, 8500 and 8235.
Fish - 200, 440, 800, 920, 750, 650, 1420, 1550 and 1200.
Cash crops - 80, 90, 45, 65, 12, 100 and 120.
Determine the mean output of the enterprises of the firm-farm.

## Solution:

NB:-

1. If a distribution has very high extreme values, harmonic mean is used as a measure of the centre.
2. If a distribution has median extreme values, geometric mean is used as a measure of the centre.
3. If a distribution has no extreme values, arithmetic mean is used as a measure of the centre.

For arable crop enterprise - the mean is:
$\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{n} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\sum\left(1 / \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}$
$X_{H}=10 / 0.0193=515.73$
For fish enterprise - the mean is:
$\log X_{G}=\underline{\log X_{1}} \underline{+\log X_{2}} \underline{+\cdots-\cdots--+\log X_{\underline{n}}}$
n
$X_{G}=\operatorname{Antilog} \log X_{G}=\operatorname{Antilog}(2.8544)=715.15$
For cash crop enterprise - the mean is:
$\overline{\mathrm{x}}=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{n})^{-1}$
$\overline{\mathrm{x}}=564 / 8=70.5$
Q2. Given that the age distribution of staff in COLAMRUD and COLPLANT, UNAAB, Abeokuta is as presented in the table below:

Table 1: Age Distribution of Staff in COLAMRUD and COLPLANT, UNAAB, Abeokuta

| Age Group | Mid-point (Age) | COLAMRUD (Frequency) | COPLANT (Frequency) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $19-21$ | 20 | 2 | 4 |
| $22-24$ | 23 | 4 | 3 |
| $25-27$ | 26 | 8 | 4 |
| $28-30$ | 29 | 16 | 26 |
| $31-33$ | 32 | 7 | 3 |
| $34-36$ | 35 | 4 | 3 |
| $37-39$ | 38 | 3 | 5 |

## Solution:

Using the mid-point approach and the arithmetic mean technique:-
$\overline{\mathrm{x}}=\left(\Sigma \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left[\left(\Sigma \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{-1}\right]$
where:-
$\Sigma=$ sum of;
$f_{i}=$ frequency of the $i^{\text {th }}$ age group;
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ mid-point values of the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ age group.
$\therefore$ Mean age for COLAMRUD staff $=29.14$ and Mean age for COLPLANT staff $=29.13$.
Graphically:-


Figure 1: Line Chart for COLAMRUD and COLPLANT STAFFERS AGE
The standard deviation:
$\sqrt{ } \sigma^{2}=\sqrt{ }\left(\Sigma \mathrm{fd}^{2}\right)\left\{(\Sigma \mathrm{fd})^{2} /(\Sigma \mathrm{f})\right\}\left[(\Sigma \mathrm{f})^{-1}\right]$
N.B:- d is the deviation of the frequencies from the frequency of the class of the assumed mean (29).
$\therefore \mathrm{SD}$ for:-
COLAMRUD $=43.70$
COLPLANT $=70.40$.
CV for:-
COLAMRUD $=1.49$
COLPLANT $=2.42$.
Q3. There are equal numbers of rams and ewes in a group of 20 sheep. If $60 \%$ of the rams and $20 \%$ of the ewes are of the Balami breed, what is the probability that a sheep chosen from the group is (a) a ram or Balami sheep, (b) a Balami sheep given that it is a ram, (c) a non-Balami sheep given that it is a ram, (d) show that $(\mathrm{b})+(\mathrm{c})=1$.

## Solution:

Table 2: Distribution of Sheep according to Breed and Gender

| Gender | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}^{1}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ram | 6 | 4 | 10 |
| Ewe | 2 | 8 | 10 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |

This implies that:
(a) $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{RUB})$ i.e. either ram or Balami which are Balami ram, Balami ewe and non-Balami ram.
(b) $p(B / R)$
(c) $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{B}^{1} / \mathrm{R}\right)$

The sample space can be given as:-
Table 3: Sample Space for Sheep according to Breed and Gender

| $\boldsymbol{G e n d e r}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}^{\perp}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ram | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Ewe | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Total | $\boldsymbol{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | $\boldsymbol{1}$ |

(a) The two events are mutually independent, hence -

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(R \cup B)=p(R)+p(B)-p(R B)= \\
& \Rightarrow p(R \cup B)=0.5+0.4-0.3=0.6
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow 1-\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{R} \cap \mathrm{~B}^{\prime}\right)=1-0.4=0.6
$$

(b) $p(B / R)=p(B \cap R) / p(R)=0.3 / 0.5=0.6$
(c) $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{B}^{\prime} / \mathrm{R}\right)=\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{R}\right) / \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{R})=0.2 / 0.5=0.4$
(d) $p(B / R)+p\left(B^{\prime} / R\right)=0.6+0.4=1$

Q4. Suppose a brown bull is mated with black cows on 10 occasions. What is the probability of having (a) at least 7 brown calves (b) at most 7 brown calves from the mated cow; given that there is a probability of $1 / 2$ that a calve from black cow with a brown bull is brown.

## Solution:

Working formula $\rightarrow \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\binom{n}{x_{1}} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}(1-\mathrm{p})^{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}}$
$x_{i}=0,1,2,3, \cdots-\cdots-\cdots--10 ; p=1 / 2$ and $n=10$.
$\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=0\right)=10!/ 0!(1 / 2)^{0}(1 / 2)^{10} \quad=0.001$
$\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=1\right)=10 / 1024 \quad=0.010$
$\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=2\right)=45 / 1024=0.044$
$\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=3\right)=120 / 1024=0.117$
$\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=4\right)=210 / 1024 \quad=0.205$
$\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=5\right)=252 / 1024 \quad=0.246$
$\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=6\right)=210 / 1024=0.205$
$\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=7\right)=120 / 1024=0.117$
$\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=8\right)=45 / 1024 \quad=0.044$
$p\left(x_{i}=9\right)=10 / 1024 \quad=0.010$
$\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=10\right)=1 / 1024 \quad=0.001$
$\Sigma$
$=1.000$
(a) The probability of at least 7 brown calves $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \geq 7)$. There are two ways to this problem:-
i. $\operatorname{sum} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ up to 7 and subtract from 1 since $\Sigma \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=1$ or
ii. find the sum $p\left(x_{i}=7,8,9\right.$ and 10$)$.
(b) The probability of at most 7 brown calves $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq 7)$. There are also two ways to this problem:-
i. sum $p\left(x=x_{i}\right)$ up to 7 or
ii. find the sum $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=7,8,9\right.$ and 10$)$ and subtract from 1 since $\Sigma \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=1$.

Q5. A supplier delivers farm outputs to a eatery by means of the rail.for meal preparations for customers. Given that the train arrives with the probability of 0.2 and x is a random variable for late arrivals in any given week of 5 working days, what is the expected value of late arrival of supplies to the eatery and what is the level of deviation from the expected.

## Solution:

$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{np}$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{x})=0.2 \times 5$
$=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma^{2}=n p(1-\mathrm{p}) \\
& \Rightarrow \sigma^{2}=0.2 \times 0.8 \times 5 \\
& \quad=0.8
\end{aligned}
$$

Q6. Given that $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{N}(0,1)$, what is $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq 2.5)$

## Solution:

Fro Z - table:- $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq 2.5)=0.9938$
$p(x \leq 2.5)=p(x \geq-2.5)=0.9938$ (i.e. symmetry)


Q7. Given that $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{N}(0,1)$, what is $\mathrm{p}(0 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq 2.5)$

## Solution:


$\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq 2.5)-\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq 0)$
$=0.9938-0.5000$
$=0.4938$.
Q8. Given that $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{N}\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)=\mathrm{N}(2,9)$, find $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq 8)$.

## Solution:

Recall that:-
$\mathrm{Z}=\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}$
$\therefore \mathrm{Z}$ for $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{N}(2,9)=\mathrm{p}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma} \leq \frac{8-2}{3}\right)=2.00$
From the Z-table:-
$p(Z \leq 2.00)=0.9772$
Q9. In Biolek Farms, the mean weight of 500 rams is 70 kg and the standard deviation is 5 kg . Assuming that the weights are normally distributed; if the weight is taken to the nearest whole number, find how many rams weigh (a) between 60 kg and 71 kg , (b) more than 81 kg .

## Solution:

(a) If the weight is taken to the nearest whole number, weight between 60 kg and 71 kg can have any value from 59.5 kg to 71.5 kg .
59.5 kg in standard units $=(59.5-70) / 5$

$$
=-2.1
$$

71.5 kg in standard units $=(71.5-70) / 5$

$$
=0.3
$$

The required proportion of rams $\equiv \mathrm{p}(-2.1 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq 0.3)=($ area between $\mathrm{z}=-2.1$ and $\mathrm{z}=0.3)$

$\Rightarrow \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq 0.3)-\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq-2.1)$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x})=0.6179-0.0179$

$$
=0.6000
$$

OR
$\mathrm{p}(-2.1 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq 0.3)=($ area between $\mathrm{z}=-2.1$ and $\mathrm{z}=0)+($ area between $\mathrm{z}=0.3$ and $\mathrm{z}=0)$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{p}(-2.1 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq 0.3)=\{0.5000-\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq-2.1)\}+\{\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq 0.3)-0.5000)\}$
From Z-table:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq-2.1)=0.0179 \\
& \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq 0.3)=0 \\
& \Rightarrow \mathrm{p}(-2.1 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq 0.3)=(0.5000-0.0179)+(0.6179-0 . \\
& \Rightarrow \mathrm{p}(-2.1 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq 0.3)=0.4821+0.1179 \\
& \Rightarrow \mathrm{p}(-2.1 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq 0.3)=0.6000
\end{aligned}
$$

OR
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{p}(-2.1 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq 0.3)=\{0.5000-\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq-2.1)\}+\{0.5000-\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq 0.3)\}$
$p\left(x \geq x_{i}\right)=p\left(x \leq x_{i}\right)$ from symmetric rule
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \geq 0.3)=\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq 0.3)=0.3821$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{p}(-2.1 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq 0.3)=(0.5000-0.0179)+(0.5000-0.3821)$

$$
=0.4821+0.1179
$$

$\Rightarrow \mathrm{p}(-2.1 \leq \mathrm{x} \leq 0.3)=0.6000$
$\therefore$ The number of rams weighing between 60 kg and $71 \mathrm{~kg}=5000(0.6000)=300 \mathrm{rams}$.
(b) Rams weighing more than 81 kg weigh at least 81.5 kg
81.5 kg in standard unit $=(81.5-70) / 5$

$$
=2.3
$$

The required proportion of rams $\equiv \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \geq 0.3)=$ (area to the right of $\mathrm{z}=2.3$ )


From the Z-table:-
$\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \geq 2.3)=\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq 2.3)$ (symmetry)
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \geq 2.3)=0.0107$
OR
$1-\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x} \geq \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ (symmetry)
$\Rightarrow 1-0.9893=0.0107$
OR
$\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \geq 2.3)=($ area to the right of $\mathrm{z}=0)-($ area between $\mathrm{z}=0$ and $\mathrm{z}=2.3)$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \geq 2.3)=0.5000-0.4893$

$$
=0.0107
$$

$\therefore$ The number of rams weighing more than $81 \mathrm{~kg}=500(0.0107) \approx 5$
Exercise:
E1. Given $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{N}(0,1)$; find:- $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \geq 2.00), \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq 2.00), \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \leq-2.00), \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \geq-2.00)$ and $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x} \geq 2.5)$.
Note:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x} \geq \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \text { (symmetry) } \\
& \Rightarrow \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x} \geq \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)+\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{x} \leq \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

E2. It was observed on a cattle farm that when tetanus affects infant calves, only $10 \%$ recover. i. In a random sample of five infant calves affected by tetanus, what is the probability that only two of them recover?
ii. How many calves would be expected to recover from 15 infant calves affected with tetanus? E3. Assuming the bags of fish meal compounded by a milling firm are randomly distributed with a mean 10 kg and a standard deviation 0.5 kg . The Production Manager specified that bags weighing below 9.4 kg are grossly under packed while those weighing above 10.8 kg are too over packed. How many of 100 randomly selected bags form the firm's warehouse will be:
i. grossly under packed;
ii. too over packed;
iii. normal in weight.

Q10. Given that protein determination is normally distributed, the mean protein of nine varieties of beans grown in Nigeria was put as 12.66 by a group of visiting scientists from UK to Nigeria in 1980. A PG student in UNAAB insisted that the value is inconsistent with present $21^{\text {st }}$ century dynamics due to the observations he made on protein determination for the same varieties which he recorded as follows: $12.9,13.4,12.4,12.8,13.0,12.7,12.4,13.5$ and 13.9. Determine the veracity of the claims of the PG student.

## Solution:

$\mu=12.66$
Null hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right): \overline{\mathrm{x}}=\mu=12.66$
Alternative hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}\right): \overline{\mathrm{x}} \neq \mu=12.66$
Step 1: $x, \mathrm{~S} x$

$$
\bar{x}=13 \text { and } \mathrm{S} \bar{x}=0.17
$$

Step 2: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{x-\mu}{S_{x}^{-}}=\frac{13-12.66}{0.17}=\frac{0.34}{0.17}=$
Step 3: degree of freedom $(n-1)=9-1=8, \alpha=5 \%$.
Step 4: $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}, \alpha, \mathrm{df}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}}(5 \%, 8)=2.31$
Step 5: compare $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}}:-\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}<\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}}$ since $2.00<2.31$
Step 6: decision:- $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ should be accepted.
Mathematically there is a difference between 12.66 and 13.00 but statistically the difference is not significant, hence the mean can be accepted to be 12.66. The alternative hypothesis determines the tailed test being dealt with. The manner the calculated hypothesis is set up dictates the kind of tail test. If for the above example it was asked that is sample mean greater than the population mean, then $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ will be set as this:
$\mathrm{H}_{0}: \quad \overline{\mathrm{x}}=\mu=12.66$
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}: \quad \overline{\mathrm{x}}>\mu=12.66 \longrightarrow$ one tail test
Also if it was asked that is sample mean less than the population mean, $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ will be set as:
$\mathrm{H}_{0}: \quad \overline{\mathrm{x}}=\mu=12.66$
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}: \quad \overline{\mathrm{x}}<\mu=12.66 \longrightarrow$ one tail test
However, as in the example, if the statement is sample mean same with (or equal to) population mean, $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ will be set as:
$\mathrm{H}_{0}: \quad \overline{\mathrm{x}}=\mu=12.66$
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}: \quad \overline{\mathrm{x}} \neq \mu=12.66 \longrightarrow 2$ tail test
N.B:- In one tail test $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}}$ at $1 \%, 5 \%$ and $10 \%$ are read at $1 \%, 5 \%$ and $10 \%$.

In two tail test $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}}$ at $1 \%, 5 \%$ and $10 \%$ are read at $0.5 \%, 2.5 \%$ and $5 \%$.
Q11. A final year project student in COLVET in UNAAB is interested in the feed intake of drake and duck to determine drug administration regime for goose. From his observations on one
hundred each of drakes and ducks, the average intake was 35.4 g and 31.00 g per day for drakes and ducks respectively while the standard error of estimate was 400 and 1200 for drakes and ducks respectively. As a student who has taken a course in AEM 304, he is soliciting your opinion if he can conclude that ducks do not eat as much as the drakes.

## Solution:

Testing of hypothesis problems are usually related to normal and binomial distribution. The case here is of 2 samples from two populations of drakes and ducks i.e. $H_{0}: \mu_{M}=\mu_{F}$ and $H_{A}: \mu_{M} \neq \mu_{F}$ i.e. 2-tail or $\mu_{\mathrm{M}}>\mu_{\mathrm{F}}$ or $\mu_{\mathrm{M}}<\mu_{\mathrm{F}}$ i.e. 1-tail.

To test this kind of hypothesis, $\mathrm{H}_{0} \Rightarrow \mu_{\mathrm{F}}=\mu_{\mathrm{M}}=0$. Information required are $\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{M}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{F}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\bar{x}} \overline{\mathrm{~F}}^{-} \bar{x}{ }_{\mathrm{m}}$

$$
\mathrm{d}=\frac{\binom{-}{x_{F}-\frac{-}{x_{M}}}-\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}\right)}{S\binom{-}{x_{F}-\frac{x_{M}}{M}}}
$$

$$
S\binom{---}{x_{F}-x_{M}}=\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{-}{x_{F}-}-x_{M}\right)}=\sqrt{\frac{S_{M}^{2}}{n_{M}}+\frac{S_{F}^{2}}{n_{F}}}
$$

Degree of freedom $=\left(n_{1}-1\right)+\left(n_{2}+1\right)=n_{1}+n_{2}=2$
$\bar{x}_{1}$ is $35.4,{ }_{X_{2}}^{-}=31.00, \mathrm{~S}_{X_{1}}^{-}=400$ and $\mathrm{S}_{X_{2}}^{-}=1200, \mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{2}=100$
$S\binom{-}{x_{M}-\frac{-}{x_{F}}}=\sqrt{\frac{s^{2} \overline{x_{1}}}{n_{1}}}+\frac{s^{2} \bar{x}_{2}}{n_{2}} \quad=\sqrt{\frac{400}{100}}+\frac{1200}{100}=4$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\overline{x_{1}}-\overline{x_{2}}}{S\left(\overline{x_{1}}-\overline{x_{2}}\right)} \quad=\frac{35.4-3.2}{4} \quad=\quad \frac{4.4}{4}=1.1$
V i.e. df $=100+100-2=198$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{t}=1.1$
However, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}}=1.65$ for 1-tail $\left(\mu_{\mathrm{M}} \neq \mu_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ and 1.96 for 2-tail $\left(\mu_{\mathrm{M}}>\mu_{\mathrm{F}}\right.$ or $\left.\mu_{\mathrm{M}}<\mu_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$
$\therefore \mathrm{t}<\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}}$ meaning that ducks eat as much as the drakes.

Q12. Another student in the same college carried out the same observations on the exotic breeds of goose but recorded the same error of estimate for the two genders but an average intake of 45.6 g and 31 g for the drakes and ducks respectively. She also needs your assistance like her colleague.

## Solution:

Recall that:- $S\left(\begin{array}{l}- \\ x_{1}\end{array} \frac{-}{x_{2}}\right)=4$
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{45.6-31}{4}=\frac{14.6}{4}=3.65$
i.e. $t_{c}>t_{T}$

Therefore $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is not acceptable and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is accepted, i.e. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ : $\mu_{\mathrm{M}} \neq \mu_{\mathrm{F}}$ hence the two groups do not eat equally.

The critical value of the $t$ - distribution can be used to calculate the appropriate confidence interval. If the hypothesized mean ( $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ ) lies outside the confidence interval (CI), the $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is not accepted and if it lies inside the CI the $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is accepted.
$\bar{x}-\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{S}_{\bar{x}}^{-} \leq \mu \leq \bar{x}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{S}_{x}^{-}$
$\mu_{\mathrm{L}} \leq \mu \leq \mu_{\mathrm{U}}=\left[\bar{x} \pm \boldsymbol{t}_{\alpha / 2} \boldsymbol{S}_{x}^{-}\right]=1-\alpha$
Setting up a $95 \%$ C. I. around the mean value:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Rightarrow & \bar{x}-\boldsymbol{t}_{\alpha / 2} \boldsymbol{S}_{x}^{-} \leq \mu \leq \bar{x}+\boldsymbol{t}_{\alpha / 2} \boldsymbol{S}_{x}^{-}=1-0.05=0.95 \\
\Rightarrow & 14.6-1.96(4) \leq \mu \leq 14.6+1.96(4)=0.95 \\
\Rightarrow & 14.6-7.84 \leq \mu \leq 14.6+7.84=0.95 \\
\Rightarrow & 6.76 \leq \mu \leq 22.44=0.95
\end{array}
$$



Sometimes a situation may arose where there can be systematic differences from unit to unit. In such a situation, any observed difference in two independent sample tests cannot be ascribed to the difference between the two treatments only. Included, for instance, in such a difference is a a measure of the systematic differences and the required treatment differences which are said to be confounded with each other.

Q13. An animal scientist conducted an experiment to determine if there is any difference between two new diets (A and B) and weight gains by pigs. Six pairs of pigs were selected so that the two pigs in a pair are as close as possible in their initial weights. Diet A was randomly assigned to one pig in a pair and $B$ to the other. The following are the weight gains by the pigs after a trial period of 10 weeks.

| Gains in weight (Grams) | Diet A | Diet B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pair | 48 | 40 |
| 1 | 56 | 52 |
| 2 | 63 | 68 |
| 3 | 51 | 62 |
| 4 | 42 | 38 |
| 5 | 49 | 40 |

Find out if there is any significant difference in weight gained by the pigs fed on the two diets.

## Solution:

Let $x_{i}$ be weight by pigs in the $i^{\text {th }}$ pair that was fed $A$, and $y_{i}$ the weight gained for the other (fed on B).

Hence:-
$d_{i}=x_{i}-y_{i} \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma_{d}^{2}\right)$
where:
$\mu=\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}$.

Test statistic:-
$\mathrm{H}_{0}: \overline{\mathrm{x}}=\overline{\mathrm{y}}=\mu=0$
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}: \overline{\mathrm{x}} \neq \overline{\mathrm{y}}($ or $\overline{\mathrm{x}}>\overline{\mathrm{y}} ; \overline{\mathrm{x}}<\overline{\mathrm{y}})$

The test statistic is:-
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=\{\overline{\mathrm{d}}-\mu\}\left\{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}} /\left(\mathrm{n}^{1 / 2}\right)\right.$
where -
$\overline{\mathrm{d}}=\sum \mathrm{d} / \mathrm{n}$;
$\mathrm{n}=$ number of observations;
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{2}=\left(\sum \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}-\overline{\mathrm{d}}\right)^{2}(\mathrm{n}-1)^{-1}$ or $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{2}=\left\{\left(\sum \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{2}\right)-\left[\left(\sum \mathrm{d}\right)^{2} / \mathrm{n}\right]\right\}(\mathrm{n}-1)^{-1}$

| Pair | $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{d}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 48 | 40 | 8 | 64 |
| 2 | 56 | 52 | 4 | 16 |
| 3 | 63 | 68 | -5 | 25 |
| 4 | 51 | 62 | -11 | 121 |
| 5 | 42 | 38 | 4 | 16 |
| 6 | 49 | 40 | 9 | 81 |
| $\sum$ | - | - | 9 | 323 |

$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{2}=(323-13.5) /(6-1)$
$\Rightarrow S_{d}{ }^{2}=3095 / 5=61.9$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{d}}=7.89$
$\overline{\mathrm{d}}=9 / 6=1.5$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{C}}=(1.5-0) /\{(7.89 / \sqrt{ } 6)\}$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{C}}=1.5 / 3.22$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{C}}=0.47$
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}, \alpha, \text { df }}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}}(5 \%, 5)=2.02$ for $\overline{\mathrm{x}}>\overline{\mathrm{y}}$ or $\overline{\mathrm{x}}<\overline{\mathrm{y}}$ (i.e. 1-tail) or 2.57 for $\overline{\mathrm{x}} \neq \overline{\mathrm{y}}$ (i.e. 2-tail)

## Exxercise

E4. Ten goats were randomly selected by a veterinary scientist for a clinical trial of a new drug to control dehydration. The serum measure before and after the use of the (new) drug were as follows:

| Goats | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Before | 160 | 140 | 180 | 160 | 225 | 150 | 150 | 140 | 170 | 165 |
| After | 140 | 150 | 170 | 130 | 180 | 120 | 150 | 120 | 130 | 140 |

Determine if there is any significant effect of the drug on the serum measure of the experimental goats.

Q14. The average prices of stocks and bonds listed on the capital market in DR country for the period 1950 - 1959 are given in the table below. Find:
i. Pearson correlation coefficient;
ii. coefficient of determination;
iii. interpret your result.

| Year | Average price of stocks (Euros) | Average price of Bonds (Euros) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 35.22 | 102.43 |
| 1951 | 39.87 | 100.93 |
| 1952 | 41.85 | 97.43 |
| 1953 | 43.23 | 97.81 |
| 1954 | 40.06 | 98.32 |
| 1955 | 53.29 | 100.07 |
| 1956 | 54.14 | 97.08 |
| 1957 | 49.12 | 91.59 |
| 1958 | 40.71 | 94.85 |
| 1959 | 55.15 | 94.65 |

## Solution:

i. Let x represent average prices of stocks and y represent average prices of bonds:Using the formula -
Definitional formula $\rho(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}(x y)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(x) \operatorname{var}(y)}}$
$\Rightarrow \rho=\frac{\sum x y}{\left[\left(\sum x^{2}\right)\left(\sum y^{2}\right)\right]^{2}}$
where:
$\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\bar{y}$
$\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\bar{x}$
OR
$\rho=\left\{\sum x_{i} y_{i}-\left\{\left[\left(\Sigma x_{i}\right)\left(\Sigma y_{i}\right)\right] / n\right\}\right\} /\left\{\sqrt{ }\left\{\left[\Sigma x_{i}{ }^{2}-\left(\Sigma x_{i}\right)^{2} / n\right]\left\{\left[\Sigma y_{i}{ }^{2}-\left(\Sigma y_{i}\right)^{2} / n\right\}\right.\right.\right.$

| Year | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}^{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 35.22 | 102.43 | -10.04 | 4.91 | -49.36 | 100.88 | 24.15 |
| 1951 | 39.87 | 100.93 | -5.39 | 3.41 | -18.42 | 29.10 | 11.66 |
| 1952 | 41.85 | 97.43 | -3.41 | -0.09 | 0.29 | 11.66 | 0.01 |
| 1953 | 43.23 | 97.81 | -2.03 | 0.29 | -0.60 | 4.14 | 0.09 |
| 1954 | 40.06 | 98.32 | -5.20 | 0.80 | -4.18 | 27.08 | 0.65 |
| 1955 | 53.29 | 100.07 | 8.03 | 2.55 | 20.50 | 64.42 | 6.52 |
| 1956 | 54.14 | 97.08 | 8.88 | -0.44 | -3.87 | 78.78 | 0.19 |
| 1957 | 49.12 | 91.59 | 3.86 | -5.93 | -22.85 | 14.87 | 35.12 |
| 1958 | 40.71 | 94.85 | -4.55 | -2.67 | 12.14 | 20.74 | 7.11 |
| 1959 | 55.15 | 94.65 | 9.89 | -2.87 | -28.33 | 97.73 | 8.21 |
| $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 2 . 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 5 . 1 6}$ | - | - | $\mathbf{- 9 4 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 9 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 7 0}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{M}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ | - | - | - |

$\Rightarrow \rho=-94.67 / \mathcal{V}(449.39)(93.70)=-94.67 / 205.20=-0.46$
OR

| Year | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}{ }^{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}{ }^{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1950 | 35.22 | 102.43 | 1240.45 | 10491.90 | 3607.58 |
| 1951 | 39.87 | 100.93 | 1589.62 | 10186.86 | 4024.08 |
| 1952 | 41.85 | 97.43 | 1751.42 | 9492.60 | 4077.45 |
| 1953 | 43.23 | 97.81 | 1868.83 | 9566.80 | 4228.33 |
| 1954 | 40.06 | 98.32 | 1604.80 | 9666.82 | 3938.70 |
| 1955 | 53.29 | 100.07 | 2839.82 | 10014.00 | 5332.73 |
| 1956 | 54.14 | 97.08 | 2931.14 | 9424.53 | 5255.91 |
| 1957 | 49.12 | 91.59 | 2412.77 | 8388.73 | 4498.90 |
| 1958 | 40.71 | 94.85 | 1657.30 | 8996.52 | 3861.34 |
| 1959 | 55.15 | 94.65 | 3041.52 | 8958.62 | 5219.95 |
| $\boldsymbol{\sum}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 2 . 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 5 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 9 3 7 . 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 1 8 7 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 0 4 4 . 9 7}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{M}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ | - |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow \rho=\{(44044.97)-[(452.64)(975.16) 10]\} / \sqrt{ }\{[20937.69-(204882.97 / 10)][95187.40- \\
&(950937.03)]\} \\
& \Rightarrow \rho=(44044.97-44139.64) /\{\sqrt{ }(449.39)(93.70)\} \\
& \Rightarrow \rho=-94.67 / 205.20=-0.46
\end{aligned}
$$

ii. Corr. Coefficient $\left(\rho^{2}\right) \equiv(\rho)^{2}=(-0.46)^{2}$
$\Rightarrow \rho^{2}=0.21$
iii. There is some weak, indirect relationship between stock and bond prices (i.e. there is a tendency for stock prices to go down when bond prices go up and vice versa). Furthermore, only $21 \%$ of variations in stock prices can be attributed to variations in bond prices.

## Exercise

E5. The average output ('000MT) and prices of cocoa ('000N) in Nigeria for the period $1980-$ 1989 are given in the table below. Find:
i. Pearson correlation coefficient;
ii. coefficient of determination;
iii. interpret your result.

| Year | Cocoa Output (MT) | Producer price (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 155.00 | 134.20 |
| 1981 | 182.00 | 103.40 |
| 1982 | 160.00 | 106.60 |
| 1983 | 118.00 | 117.10 |
| 1984 | 170.00 | 166.60 |
| 1985 | 135.00 | 190.10 |
| 1986 | 110.00 | 167.00 |
| 1987 | 80.90 | 141.00 |
| 1988 | 145.00 | 114.00 |
| 1989 | 160.00 | 93.4 |

Q15. The following table gives observation on annual rainfall (x) and yield (y) in 10 states of Nigeria:

| State | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{yr})$ | 36 | 26 | 12 | 40 | 24 | 18 | 30 | 30 | 14 | 34 |
| $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha})$ | 54 | 30 | 28 | 48 | 36 | 30 | 38 | 46 | 16 | 42 |

i. find the least square line for Y on X ;
ii. find $\rho$ and $\rho^{2}$ and interpret your results;
iii. find the yield when rainfall is $50 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{yr}$;
iv. test the hypothesis at $5 \% \alpha$-level that the regression coefficient of the population equation (i.e. 36 states and FCT) is as high as 21.90 .

## Solution:

i. Compute $\mathrm{XY}, \mathrm{X}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{Y}^{2}$ :-

| State | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X(\mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{yr})$ | 36 | 26 | 12 | 40 | 24 | 18 | 30 | 30 | 14 | 34 | 264 |
| $Y(\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha})$ | 54 | 30 | 28 | 48 | 36 | 30 | 38 | 46 | 16 | 42 | 368 |
| $X Y$ | 1944 | 780 | 336 | 1920 | 864 | 540 | 1140 | 1380 | 224 | 1428 | 10556 |
| $X^{2}$ | 1296 | 676 | 144 | 1600 | 576 | 324 | 900 | 900 | 196 | 1156 | 17768 |
| $Y^{2}$ | 2916 | 900 | 784 | 2304 | 1296 | 900 | 1444 | 2116 | 256 | 1764 | 14680 |

Using the formula:-
$\mathrm{b}=\Sigma \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\left[\left(\sum \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(\Sigma \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) / \mathrm{h} / \Sigma \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{2}-\left[\left(\Sigma \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}\right] / \mathrm{H}^{2}\right.$
$\mathrm{a}=\left(\Sigma \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{b} \Sigma \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) / \mathrm{n}$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{b}=\{10556-(264)(368) / 10\} /\left\{17768-\left[(264)^{2} / 10\right)\right]$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{b}=840.80 / 798.40$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{b}=1.05$
$a=(368 / 10)-\{[1.05(264)] / 10\}$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{a}=36.8-27.72$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{a}=9.08$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{Y}=9.08+1.05 \mathrm{X} \rightarrow$ least square line.
ii. $\rho=\{10556-(264)(368) / 10\} /\left\langle\left\{17768-\left[(264)^{2} 10\right)\right]\right\}\left\{14680-\left[(368)^{2} / 10\right]\right\}$
$\Rightarrow \rho=840.80 / \sqrt{ }(798.40)(1354.24)$
$\Rightarrow \rho=840.80 / 1039.82$
$\Rightarrow \rho=0.81$
$\rho^{2} \equiv(\rho)^{2}=(0.81)^{2}$
$\Rightarrow \rho^{2}=0.67$.
There is some strong, direct relationship between rainfall and yield (i.e. there is a tendency for yield to go up when bond annual precipitation go up and vice versa). Furthermore, $67 \%$ of variations in yield can be attributed to variations in annual rainfall.
$\mathrm{n}=$ number of (paired) observations.
iii. When $\mathrm{X}=50 \mathrm{~mm} /$ annum
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{Y}=9.08+1.05(50)$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{Y}=61.58 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$.
Testing hypothesis about $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ (Population Slope or Regression Coefficient)
$H_{0}: \beta=0$
$\mathrm{H}_{n}: \beta \neq 0$ (2-tail)
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}} /\left\{\sqrt{\sum \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{2}-\left[\left(\sum \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}\right] / \mathrm{n}}\right\}$
where:
$S_{c}=\left\{\Sigma y_{i}^{2}-a \Sigma y_{i}-b \Sigma x_{i} y_{i}\right\} /(n-2)$
$S_{b}=$ standard error of regression slope (b);
$S_{c}=$ standard error of estimate.
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}}=\{14680-9.08(368)-1.05(10556)\} /(10-2)$
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}}=(14680-3341.44-11083.80) / 8$
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}}=254.76$
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b}}=254.76 / \sqrt{ } 798.40$
$S_{b}=254.76 / 28.26$
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b}}=9.01$
$\beta=0.85$
$t_{c}=(b-\beta) / S_{b}$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=(1.05-21.90) / 9.01$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=-20.85 / 9.01$
$\Rightarrow \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=-2.3141$
Degree of freedom (v) $=\mathrm{n}-2=10-2=8$;
Level of significance $(\alpha)=0.05=0.025$ for 2-tail test.
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{T}} \equiv \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{o} .025,8}=2.3060$
since $\left|t_{c}\right|>t_{r}, H_{0}$ not acceptable. otherwise $H_{0}$ is acceptable.
$\therefore \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is accepted i.e. regression coefficient of the population equation (i.e. 36 states and FCT) is as high as 21.90

## Exercise

E6. Given that observation on annual rainfall ( x ) and soybean yield ( y ) in 10 states of Nigeria is:

| State | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{yr})$ | 36 | 26 | 12 | 40 | 24 | 18 | 30 | 30 | 14 | 34 |
| $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha})$ | 14 | 57 | 69 | 70 | 59 | 56 | 60 | 42 | 43 | 68 |

i. find the least square line for Y on X ;
ii. find $\rho$ and $\rho^{2}$ and interpret your results;
iii. find the yield when rainfall is $40 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{yr}$;
iv. test the hypothesis at $5 \% \alpha$-level that the regression coefficient of the population equation (i.e. 36 states and FCT) is as high as 20.85 .

Q16. A study was conducted on consumer preference (CP) in three randomly selected geopolitical zones (South-East, North-central and South-west) for a new method of packaging palm oil developed by NIFOR. The CP consist of "like", "dislike" and "no opinion". The data gathered is summarized as number of respondents choosing any of the three categories preferences in the table below:

| Quality Rating | East | Central | West |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Like | 15 | 10 | 6 |
| Dislike | 7 | 13 | 12 |
| No opinion | 11 | 12 | 15 |

Determine if geo-political zone has any effect on the rating of quality of packaging by respondents at $5 \% \alpha$-level.

## Solution:

i. Find the row and column totals:-

| Quality Rating | East | Central | West | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Like | 15 | 10 | 6 | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
| Dislike | 7 | 13 | 12 | $\mathbf{3 2}$ |
| No opinion | 11 | 12 | 15 | $\mathbf{3 8}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ |

ii. Compute $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}$-stat. (the expected frequency) using the formulae:
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{e}}=(\mathrm{RT} \bullet \mathrm{CT})(\mathrm{GT})^{-1}$
where:-
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ expected frequency;
RT = row total;
CT =column total;
$\mathrm{GT}=$ grand total.
$\chi_{c}{ }^{2}=\sum\left\{\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}\right\}\left\{\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{-1}\right\}$
where:-
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ observed frequency;
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ as defined previously;
RT = row total;
CT =column total;
$\mathrm{GT}=$ grand total.

| $f_{o}$ | $f_{e}$ | $f_{o}-f_{e}$ | $\left(f_{o}-f_{e}\right)^{2}$ | $\left(f_{o}-f_{e}\right)^{2} / f_{e}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 10.13 | 4.87 | 23.73 | 2.34 |
| 7 | 10.46 | -3.46 | 11.94 | 1.14 |
| 11 | 12.42 | -1.42 | 2.00 | 0.16 |
| 10 | 10.74 | -0.74 | 0.55 | 0.05 |
| 13 | 11.09 | 1.91 | 3.65 | 0.33 |
| 12 | 13.17 | -1.17 | 1.36 | 0.10 |
| 6 | 10.13 | -4.13 | 17.05 | 1.68 |
| 12 | 10.46 | 1.54 | 2.39 | 0.23 |
| 15 | 12.42 | 2.58 | 6.68 | 0.54 |
| $\Sigma$ | - | - | - | 6.58 |

$\Rightarrow \chi_{c}{ }^{2}=6.58$
iii. State the hypothesis:-
$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : the row and column are independent
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ : the row and column are dependent
iv. Obtain $\chi_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}$ from statistical table:-
$\alpha=0.05$ level of significance;
$\mathrm{r}=$ degree of freedom and $\mathrm{r}=(\mathrm{r}-1)(\mathrm{c}-1) .=(3-1)(3-1)=4$.
$\chi_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}=\chi^{2}{ }_{0.05,4}=9.4877$
v. Decision rule:-

Since $\chi_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}<\chi_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2}$.
$\Rightarrow H_{o}$ is accepted i.e. geo-political zone has no influence on respondents' rating of palm oil packaging.

## Exercise

E7. A study was conducted in a cashew growing state of Nigeria to find out the opinion of 175 literate and illiterate farmers as to whether computer use in cashew farming can improve the efficiency of farmers. The data obtained is as shown below:

| Educational Level | Primary Education | Secondary Education | Tertiary Education | None |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agree | 5 | 10 | 30 | 6 |
| Disagree | 40 | 15 | 3 | 35 |
| No opinion | 14 | 7 | 2 | 8 |

Determine at $5 \% \alpha$-level, if farmers' level of education has any effect on their perception on the usefulness of computer application to cashew farming.

Q17. Assuming a green house experiment is conducted to determine the yield of potato with the application of four (4) different types of nitrogen fertilizer using three (3) pots per treatment. Suppose the information collected is as shown below:

| Treatment |  | Yield of | Potato $\left(\right.$ Kg $/ \mathbf{N}_{2}$ | ration $)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |
| 1 | 7 | 2 | 4 |  |
| 2 | 6 | 4 | 6 |  |
| 3 | 8 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 4 | 7 | 4 | 2 |  |

Determine at $5 \% \alpha$-level, if the application of fertilizer lead to any increase in the yield of potato.

## Solution:

i. Set up a hypothesis i.e. $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ (null) and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ (alternative) hypothesis:-
$\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{s}=\mu_{4}$
$H_{A}: \mu_{i} \neq \mu_{i} ; i \neq j$.
ii. Computing F-statistic (i.e. F calculated $\rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) using ANOVA computing table:

| Source of Variation | Degree of Freedom | Sum of Squares | Mean Sum of <br> Squares | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | - | - |
| Total | $\mathrm{n}-1$ | $\sum \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{CT}$ | - |  |
| Treatment | $\mathrm{t}-1$ | $\left\{\left(\sum \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2} / \mathrm{r}\right\}-\mathrm{CT}$ | $\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{l}} /(\mathrm{t}-1)$ | $\mathrm{MSS}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{MSS}_{\mathrm{r}}$ |
| Residual | $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t}$ | $\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{l}} /(\mathrm{n}-1)$ | - |

where:
$\mathrm{CT}=$ correction term $=\left(\sum \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2} / \mathrm{n}$ or $\mathrm{Y} . .^{2} / \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{Y} . .=$ grand total $)$
$\mathrm{n}=$ total number of observations;
$\mathrm{t}=$ number of treatment;
$r=$ number of observations per treatment;
$\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{T}}=$ sum of squares total;
$\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ sum of squares treatment;
$\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ sum of squares residual;
MSS $_{\mathrm{t}}=$ mean sum of squares treatment;
$\mathrm{MSS}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ mean sum of squares residual.

| Treatment |  | Yield of | Potato (Kg/N $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ | ration) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | Total |  |
| 1 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 13 |  |
| 2 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 16 |  |
| 3 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 17 |  |
| 4 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 13 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 9}$ |  |

N.B:- Row total = column total.

| Source of Variation | Degree of Freedom | Sum of Squares | Mean Sum of Squares | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 11 | 41 | - | - |
| Treatment | 3 | 4 | 1.33 | 0.2873 |
| Residual | 8 | 37 | 4.63 | - |
| $\Rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}=0.2873$ |  |  |  |  |

iii. Finding the tabulated F-statistic on the F table (i.e. F tabulated):-
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{F}_{\alpha, \mathrm{v} 1, v 2}=\mathrm{F}_{0.05,3,8}=4.0662$
iv. Comparing $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}$ with the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ :-
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}<\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}$, hence $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is accepted.
v. Drawing conclusion:-

Fertilizer application has no significant effect on yield of potato.
Q18. Assuming a green house experiment is conducted to determine the yield of three (3) varieties of potato with the application of four (4) different types of nitrogen fertilizer using three (3) pots per treatment. Suppose the information collected is as shown below:

| Treatment |  | Yield of | Potato $\left(\mathbf{K g} / \mathbf{N}_{2}\right.$ | ration $)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Variety 1 | Variety $\mathbf{2}$ | Variety 3 |  |
| 1 | 7 | 2 | 4 |  |
| 2 | 6 | 4 | 6 |  |
| 3 | 8 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 4 | 7 | 4 | 2 |  |

Determine at $5 \% \alpha$-level, if the application of fertilizer leads to any increase in the yield of potato and if variety has any influence on the yield with fertilizer treatment.

## Solution:

i. Set up a hypothesis i.e. $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ (null) and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ (alternative) hypothesis:-
$\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{T}_{2}=\mathrm{T}_{3}=\mathrm{T}_{4}$
$H_{A}: T_{i} \neq T_{j} ; i \neq j$.
$\mathrm{H}_{0}: \vartheta_{1}=\vartheta_{2}=\vartheta_{3}=\vartheta_{4}$
$H_{A}: \vartheta_{i} \neq \vartheta_{j} ; i \neq j$.
ii. Computing F-statistic (i.e. F calculated $\rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) using ANOVA computing table:

| Source of Variation | Degree of Freedom | Sum of Squares | Mean Sum of Squares | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathrm{n}-1$ | $\sum \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}-\mathrm{CT}$ | - | - |
| Treatment | $\mathrm{t}-1$ | $\left\{\left(\sum \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2} / \mathrm{t}\right\}-\mathrm{CT}$ | $\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{t}} /(\mathrm{t}-1)$ | $\mathrm{MSS}_{\mathrm{t}} / \mathrm{MSS}_{\mathrm{r}}$ |
| Block | $\mathrm{b}-1$ | $\left\{\left(\sum \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)^{2} / \mathrm{b}\right\}-\mathrm{CT}$ | $\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{b}} /(\mathrm{b}-1)$ | $\mathrm{MSS}_{\mathrm{b}} / \mathrm{MSS}_{\mathrm{r}}$ |
| Residual | $(\mathrm{t}-1)(\mathrm{b}-1)$ | $\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{r}}-\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{r}} /(\mathrm{t}-1)(\mathrm{b}-1)$ | - |

where:

CT, n, t, $\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{T}}, \mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{MSS}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{MSS}_{\mathrm{r}}$ are as previously defined;
$\mathrm{b}=$ number of blocks;
$\mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ sum of squares block;
$\mathrm{M} \mathrm{SS}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ mean sum of squares block.

| Treatment | Yield of |  | ${\text { Potato } \mathbf{( K g} / \mathbf{N}_{2}}^{\text {ration }}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Variety 1 | Variety $\mathbf{2}$ | Variety 3 | Total |
| 1 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 13 |
| 2 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 16 |
| 3 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 17 |
| 4 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 13 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 9}$ |

N.B:- Row total $=$ column total.

| Source of Variation | Degree of Freedom | Sum of Squares | Mean Sum of Squares | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 11 | 41 | - | - |
| Treatment | 3 | 4 | 1.33 | 0.7964 |
| Block | 2 | 27 | 13.50 | 8.0838 |
| Residual | 6 | 10 | 1.67 | - |

$\Rightarrow$ For treatment, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}=0.7964$ and for block (variety effect) $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}=8.0838$.
iii. Finding the tabulated F-statistic on the F table (i.e. F tabulated):-
$\Rightarrow$ For treatment, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{F}_{\alpha, v 1, v 2}=\mathrm{F}_{0.05,3,6}=4.7571$ and for block (variety effect), $\mathrm{F}_{0.05,2,6}=5.1433$
iv. Comparing $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}$ with the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ :-

For treatment, $F_{C}<F_{T}$, hence $H_{0}$ is accepted and for block (variety effect), $F_{C}>F_{T}$.
v. Drawing conclusion:-

Fertilizer application has no significant effect on yield of potato while variety has significant effect on the yield response of potato to fertilizer.

## Exercise

E8. A study was conducted to determine the number of days it took 20 rabbits randomly allocated to 4 treatment dose groups to recover from sore foot disease after the administration of a new drug. Test at $5 \%$ level the significant effect of the doses.

| $\mathbf{0 . 5 m g}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 m g}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 m g}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 m g}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 25 | 26 | 26 |
| 26 | 27 | 29 | 28 |
| 25 | 28 | 33 | 27 |
| 25 | 26 | 30 | 30 |
| 31 | 29 | 33 | 30 |

